

APPENDIX 6:
STRATEGIC ALTERNATIVES TABLE

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------|--|---|
| Strategic Policies | | | | | | |
| SP1 | Presumption in favour of sustainable development | New to 2013 draft MWLP; no change to 2015 | Create a new policy on the presumption in favour of Sustainable Development | Include new policy | No alternatives | No |
| SP2 | Provision for waste | Revision of adopted Core Strategy Policy CS8 | Make changes to CS8 in light of changes in national policy, guidance and evidence base or carry forward policy CS8 without amendment | Make changes to CS8 | No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS8. Strategic alternatives to CS8 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited. | Revised policy (SP2) appraised as set out in Appendix 3. Strategic alternatives to CS8 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report. Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS8 and its strategic alternatives. |
| SP3 | Waste capacity | Revision of waste element of adopted Core Strategy Policy CS9 and further revision of SP3 in 2015 draft MWLP | Make changes to CS9 in light of changes in national policy, guidance and evidence base or carry forward policy CS9 without amendment | Make changes to CS9 | No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS9 in light of changes to evidence base. Strategic alternatives to CS9 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited. This revision to SP3 took into account a significant revision to the draft MWLP since the 2013 draft MWLP, and a small, subsequent revision since 2015. The plan proposes that the strategic locational | Policy (SP3) as set out in Appendix 3. Appraisal of the proposed SP3 in the 2015 draft MWLP is set out in Appendix 9. Strategic alternatives to CS7 and CS9 were appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report. Appendix 7 and 8 of this |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|-----------|---|---|---|--------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | <p>restrictions on new landfill capacity introduced in the adopted 2009 (Core Strategy policy CS7) policies and the strategic locational criteria for time extensions for landfill sites set out in the 2013 draft MWLP were removed. From 2015, no priority is given to new landfill capacity in the south of the county, but the criteria in SP3 and in DC10 would enable any demonstrable local shortage to be taken into account.</p> <p>No Site Allocations Policy on landfill is proposed. The way forward was selected because the overall void space requirement for the Plan period is now known to be close to the currently permitted void space, leaving less room for locational selection criteria, because there is no empirical evidence that the policy would minimise traffic movements, and there are few realistic policy options to implement the previous policy preferences.</p> | report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS7 and CS9 and its strategic alternatives. |
| SP4 | Transparent decision making | New policy in 2015 draft MWLP, revised since 2015 | Included as a new policy in 2015 on general principles for all radioactive waste proposals; amended in light of discussion with nuclear industry and regulators. | Revise policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Policy (SP4) appraised as set out in Appendix 3. |
| SP5 | Development criteria for low level radioactive wastes | Revision of CS12 & SP6/SP7 (2013 and 2015 drafts of MWLP) | Three options considered. Include one policy covering development criteria for all LLW; include two policies in the Plan (one on higher activity LLW and one for lower activity LLW) as was | Include one policy | <p>Yes, as part of development of adopted policy CS12 and in preparing draft MWLP 2013; small update since 2015 draft.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to the adopted Policy CS12 developed in the light of:</p> | <p>Revised policy (SP5) appraised as set out in Appendix 3.</p> <p>Appraisals of the two policies proposed in 2013 draft MWLP (SP6 & SP7) are set out in</p> |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|-----------|--|---|--|-----------------|--|---|
| | | | consulted on in 2013 draft MWLP or carry forward policy CS12 without amendment that deals with Low Level Waste Repository near Drigg only. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. the mechanisms available for implementation ii. potential overlap with other regulatory regimes iii. changes in national policy including clarification of the status of national policies such as the NDA Strategy April 2011 iv. consultation responses to the Feb 2013 draft, and v. experience of dealing with an apparent proliferation of planning applications for additional LLW facilities within Cumbria. <p>Keeping with two policies in the draft MWLP Plan 2015 was discounted because policies are consistent for all types of LLW proposal and conform to the NPPF, yet enable any proposed proliferation of LLW provision in Cumbria to be considered under the relevant material planning considerations. The policy approach would be implemented with the support of SAP3.</p> | <p>Appendix 9.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS12 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report.</p> <p>Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS12 and its strategic alternatives.</p> |
| SP6 | Higher activity radioactive wastes treatment, management and storage | Revision of CS10 and SP5 (2013 and 2015 drafts of MWLP) | Two options considered. The first was to make changes to CS10 in light of changes in national policy; guidance and evidence base or carry forward policy CS10 without amendment. | Revise policy | <p>No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS10.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS10 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited.</p> | <p>Revised policy (SP6) appraised as set out in Appendix 3.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS10 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report.</p> |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|-----------|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| | | | | | | Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS10 and its strategic alternatives. |
| SP7 | Minerals provision and safeguarding | Revision of CS14 and SP9 (2013 and 2015 drafts of MWLP) | Two options considered. The first was to make changes to CS14 in light of changes in national policy, guidance and evidence base and considering inclusion of areas for High and Very High Specification Aggregates (HSA) or carry forward policy CS9 without amendment. | Makes changes to policy CS14 and include provision for HSA and VHSA. | No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS14 in light of changes to evidence base and issues considered at earlier stages in the plan making process. Strategic alternatives to CS14 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited. | Revised policy (SP7) appraised as set out in Appendix 3. Strategic alternatives to CS14 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report. Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS14 and its strategic alternatives. |
| SP8 | Strategic areas for new mineral development | Revision of the minerals element of CS7. Included as own policy SP8 in 2013 and 2015 drafts of MWLP | Carry forward the minerals element of CS7 in light of changes in national policy, guidance and evidence base and to include additional strategic areas or carry forward policy CS7 unchanged. | Carry forward | No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS7. Strategic alternatives to CS7 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited. | Revised policy (SP8) appraised as set out in Appendix 3. Strategic alternatives to CS7 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report. Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS10 and its strategic alternatives. |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|-----------|---------------------------|--|---|-----------------|--|---|
| SP9 | Marine dredged aggregates | Revision of CS15 and SP10 (2013 and 2015 drafts of MWLP) | Carry forward CS15 with minor amendment in light of changes in national policy, guidance and evidence base or take CS15 forward unchanged. | Revise policy | <p>No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS15.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS15 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited</p> | <p>Revised policy (SP9) appraised as set out in Appendix 3.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS15 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report.</p> <p>Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS15 and its strategic alternatives.</p> |
| SP10 | Industrial limestones | Revision to CS16 and SP11 (2013 MWLP draft, no change to 2015 draft) | Carry forward CS16 with amendment in light of changes in national policy, guidance and evidence base or take CS16 forward unchanged. | Carry forward | <p>No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS16.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS16 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited</p> | <p>Revised policy (SP10) appraised as set out in Appendix 3.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS16 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report.</p> <p>Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS16 and its strategic alternatives.</p> |
| SP11 | Peat | New to 2015 draft MWLP, minor change since | Two options were considered. The first was to accept proposal to include Solway Moss as either a Preferred Area or Area of Search and the second was to insert a DC Policy on Peat. | | Identifying specific sites rejected, as would be contrary to NPPF and is not a reasonable alternative. The second option of including a DC policy would be similar in scope to proposed approach and it was deemed that given the nature | New policy SP11 appraised as set out in Appendix 3. |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|-----------|--|--|--|-----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | of the policy it should be included within the strategic policies of the Plan. Policy DC22 covers the restoration and after use of peat extraction sites. | |
| SP12 | Climate change mitigation and adaptation | Revision of CS1 and SP14 (2013 draft MWLP, minor change to 2015 draft) | Carry forward CS1 with amendment in light of changes in national policy, guidance and evidence base or take CS1 forward unchanged. | Revise policy | <p>No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS1.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS1 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited.</p> | <p>Revised policy (SP12) appraised as set out in Appendix 3.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS1 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report.</p> <p>Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS1 and its strategic alternatives.</p> |
| SP13 | Economic benefit | Revision of CS2 and SP15 (2013 draft MWLP, minor change to 2015 draft) | Carry forward CS2 with amendment in light of changes in national policy, guidance and evidence base or take CS2 forward unchanged. | Revise policy | <p>No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS2.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS2 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited</p> | <p>Revised policy (SP13) appraised as set out in Appendix 3.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS2 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report.</p> <p>Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS2 and its strategic alternatives.</p> |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|-----------|--------------------------|--|--|-----------------|---|---|
| SP14 | Environmental assets | Revision of CS3 and SP17 (2013 draft MWLP, major change to 2015 draft) | Carry forward CS3 but clarify the policy and update in line with changes in national policy and to incorporate enhancement, ecosystems services and green infrastructure and reference to include AONBs, RAMSAR and European Wildlife Sites and SSSIs or take CS4 forward unchanged. | Revise policy | <p>No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS4.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS4 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited.</p> | <p>Revised policy (SP14) appraised as set out in Appendix 3.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS3 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report.</p> <p>Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS3 and its strategic alternatives.</p> |
| SP15 | Restoration and afteruse | Revision of CS4 and SP18 (2013 draft MWLP, minor change to 2015 draft) | Carry forward CS4 with amendment in light of changes in national policy, guidance and evidence base and update in line with changes in national policy. | Revise policy | <p>No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS4.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS4 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited</p> | <p>Revised policy (SP15) appraised as set out in Appendix 3.</p> <p>Strategic alternatives to CS4 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report.</p> <p>Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS4 and its strategic alternatives.</p> |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| SP16 | Section 106 planning obligations | Revision of CS5 and SP19 (2013 draft MWLP, minor change to 2015 draft) | Three options considered: carry forward CS5 with amendment in light of changes in national policy, guidance and evidence base; delete Policy DC20 Planning Obligations of the adopted Core Strategy; or take CS5 forward unchanged. | Revise policy | No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of CS5. Strategic alternatives to CS5 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited | Revised policy (SP16) appraised as set out in Appendix 3. Strategic alternatives to CS5 appraised as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and no further assessment has been undertaken in this SA report. Appendix 7 and 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for the development of CS5 and its strategic alternatives. |
| SP17 | Monitoring and enforcing planning control | New policy (draft MWLP 2013 - SP20; minor change to 2015 draft) | Include a policy on monitoring and enforcing planning control or not to include a policy. | Include a policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Policy SP17 appraised as set out in Appendix 3. |
| Development Control Policy | | | | | | |
| DC1 | Traffic and transport | Revision of adopted Core Strategy Policy DC1 | Make an amendment to existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in national legislation or carry the existing DC policy forward unchanged. | Make amendment to existing DC policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC1) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. Appendix 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for DC1 at the submission stage of the preparation of the MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan |
| DC2 | General criteria | Revision of adopted Core Strategy Policy DC2 | Make an amendment to existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in national legislation or carry the | Make amendment to existing DC policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC2) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. Appendix 4 of this report sets |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|-----------|----------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | | existing DC policy forward unchanged. | | | out the appraisal findings for DC2 at the submission stage of the preparation of the MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan. |
| DC3 | Noise | New Policy (draft MWLP 2013; minor change to 2015 draft) | Include a specific policy on noise or not to include specific policy | Include a specific policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC3) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| DC4 | Quarry blasting | New Policy (draft MWLP 2013; minor change to 2015 draft) | Include a specific policy on quarry blasting or continue without a specific policy on quarry blasting | Include a specific policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC4) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| DC5 | Dust | New Policy (draft MWLP 2013; minor change to 2015 draft) | Include a specific policy on dust Continue without a specific policy on dust | Include a specific new policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC5) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| DC6 | Cumulative environmental impacts | Revision of adopted Core Strategy Policy DC3 | Make a minor amendment to existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in national legislation or carry the existing DC policy forward unchanged | Make amendment to existing DC policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC6) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. Appendix 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for DC3 at the submission stage of the preparation of the MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan. |
| DC7 | Energy from Waste | New Policy (draft MWLP 2015; no change since) | Include a new policy or continue without a specific policy on energy from waste | Include a specific new policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | New policy (DC7) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|------------------|---|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| DC8 | Renewable energy use on existing minerals and waste sites | New Policy (draft MWLP 2015; minor change to 2015 draft) | Include a new policy or continue without a specific policy on energy from waste | Include a specific new policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | New policy (DC8) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| DC9 | Criteria for waste management facilities | Revision of adopted Core Strategy Policy DC4 | Make a minor amendment to existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in national legislation or carry the existing DC policy forward unchanged. | Make amendment to existing DC policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC9) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. Appendix 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for DC4 at the submission stage of the preparation of the MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan. |
| DC10 | Criteria for landfill and landraise | Revision of adopted Core Strategy Policy DC5 | Make a minor amendment to existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in national legislation or carry the existing DC policy forward unchanged | Make amendment to existing DC policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC10) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. Appendix 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for DC5 at the submission stage of the preparation of the MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan. |
| DC11 | Inert waste for agricultural improvement | New Policy (draft MWLP 2015; minor change to 2015 draft) | Include a new policy or continue without a specific policy on inert waste for agricultural improvement | Include a specific new policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC11) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| DC12 | Criteria for non-energy minerals development | Revision of adopted Core Strategy Policy DC6 | Make a minor amendment to existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in national legislation or carry the | Make amendment to existing DC policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC12) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | | | existing DC policy forward unchanged. | | | Appendix 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for DC6 at the submission stage of the preparation of the MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan. |
| DC13 | Criteria for energy minerals | Revision of adopted Core Strategy Policy DC7 | Five options were considered: include a single, but detailed, strategic policy to include all oil and gas, i.e. including CBM, shale gas and UCG; OR include a series of separate strategic policies on different hydrocarbons; OR include a single strategic policy, covering all oil and gas, including unconventional proposals, but separate phases of development; OR include a strategic policy on hydrocarbons, but include a DC policy separately covering the different phases of oil and gas development; OR have no strategic policies on hydrocarbons but a DC policy covering the different phases of oil and gas development including coal | No strategic policy but DC policy with four separate sub policies on exploration and appraisal of oil and gas, UCG and coal. Revision to adopted policy DC7. | No new strategic alternatives considered in preparation of MWLP, as approach chosen was a modification of DC7 and takes into account changes in national policy and guidance. Strategic alternatives to DC7 considered as part of preparation of MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan and not revisited | Revised policy (DC13) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. Appendix 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for DC7 at the submission stage of the preparation of the MWDF Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan. |
| DC14 | Review of Mineral Permissions | Revision of adopted Core Strategy DC8 | Make a minor amendment to existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in national legislation or carry the existing DC policy forward unchanged. | Make amendment to existing DC policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC14) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. Appendix 8 of this report sets out the appraisal findings for DC8 at the submission stage of the preparation of the MWDF |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies Plan. |
| DC15 | Minerals safeguarding | Revision of adopted Core Strategy policy DC9 | Amend to clarify the policy in light of national policy and consultation responses or carry policy forward unchanged | Revise policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC15) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| DC16 | Biodiversity and geodiversity | Revision of adopted Core Strategy policy DC10 | Make a minor amendment to clarify the policy in light of national policy and consultation responses or carry policy forward unchanged | Revise policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC16) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| DC17 | Historic environment | Significant revision of adopted Core Strategy DC11 | Make significant revisions to Policy DC11 or carry policy forward unchanged | Revise policy | No reasonable alternative to this policy due to the need to reflect national policy, but to incorporate the Cumbria context or to not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC17) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| DC18 | Landscape and visual impact | Revision of adopted Core Strategy policy DC12 | Make a minor amendment to existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in national legislation or carry the existing DC policy forward unchanged | Make amendment to existing DC policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC18) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| DC19 | Flood risk | Revision of adopted Core Strategy policy DC13 | Amend existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in national legislation or carry the existing DC policy forward unchanged | Make amendment to existing DC policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC19) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| DC20 | The water environment | Revision of adopted Core Strategy policy DC14 | Make a minor amendment to existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in national legislation or carry the existing DC policy forward unchanged | Make amendment to existing DC policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC20) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| DC21 | Protection of soil resources | Revision of adopted Core Strategy policy | Make a minor amendment to existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in | Make amendment to existing | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC21) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| | | DC15 | national legislation or carry the existing DC policy forward unchanged | DC policy | | |
| DC22 | Restoration and afteruse | Revision of adopted Core Strategy policy DC16 | Amend existing DC policy for clarification and to take account of changes in national legislation or carry the existing DC policy forward unchanged | Make amendment to existing DC policy | No, other than to have or not have a policy (do nothing scenario). | Revised policy (DC22) appraised as set out in Appendix 4. |
| Site Allocations Policies | | | | | | |
| SAP1 | Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRC) | New (draft MWLP 2013; no change to 2015) but builds upon RSAP 2012 document | Include a policy on HWRC allocations or not include a policy | Include sites AL37 and SL1B in policy | No, other sites discounted in site assessment process. Sites included only reasonable alternatives. | Policy SAP1 appraised, as set out in Appendix 5 |
| SAP2 | Waste treatment and management facilities | New (draft MWLP 2013; minor change to 2015 draft) but builds upon RSAP 2012 document | Include a policy on waste treatment and management facility allocations or not include a policy | Include sites AL3; AL8; AL18, CA11; CA30; CA31; and CO11 in policy | No, other sites discounted in site assessment process. Sites included only reasonable alternatives. | Policy SAP2 appraised, as set out in Appendix 5 |
| SAP3 | Low Level radioactive Wastes treatment, management storage and disposal | New (draft MWLP 2013; amended 2015 draft) but builds upon RSAP 2012 document | Include a policy on low level radioactive waste facility allocations or not include a policy; OR amend to encompass all levels of radioactivity | Include sites CO32; CO35; and CO36 in policy; amend to encompass all radioactivity levels | No, other sites discounted in site assessment process. Sites included only reasonable alternatives. | Policy SAP3 appraised, as set out in Appendix 5 |

| MWLP 2016 | Policy Title | Status of Policy | Options considered in developing draft MWLP | Chosen approach | Strategic alternative to proposed approach | Approach to appraising strategic alternative in this SA Report |
|------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| SAP4 | Areas for minerals | New (draft MWLP 2013; minor change to 2015 draft) but builds upon RSAP 2012 document | Include a policy on allocations for mineral areas or not include a policy | Include sites M5; M6; M8; M10; M11; M12; M14; M15; M16; M18; M24; and M30 in policy | No, other sites discounted in site assessment process. Sites included only reasonable alternatives. | Policy SAP4 appraised, as set out in Appendix 5 |
| SAP5 | Mineral Safeguarding Areas | New (draft MWLP 2013; deleted since 2015 draft) but builds upon RSAP 2012 document | Include a policy on Mineral Safeguarding Areas or not include a policy; OR delete policy and incorporate into SAP5 and DC15 | Delete policy | No, other sites discounted in site assessment process. Sites included only reasonable alternatives. | N/A |
| SAP6 | Safeguarding of existing and potential railheads and wharves | New (draft MWLP 2013; no change since 2015 draft) but builds upon RSAP 2012 document | Include a policy on safeguarding railheads and wharves or not include a policy | Include sites AL18; AL32; AL38; AL39; BA26; CO35; CO36; M31; M34; M35; M36; M37; and M38 in policy | No, other sites discounted in site assessment process. Sites included only reasonable alternatives. | Policy SAP5 appraised, as set out in Appendix 5 |