



**Submission of
CUMBRIA MINERALS AND WASTE LOCAL PLAN**

**Statement on Compliance with the
Duty to Co-operate**

August 2016

Contents

1.0	Introduction.....	3
2.0	Overview of approach taken to the Duty to Co-operate.....	5
3.0	Summary of outcomes of Co-operation	15
	Appendix 1 - Duty to Co-operate Monitoring Table	16

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 Cumbria County Council is the local planning authority for mineral working and waste management developments in Cumbria. In this role, it is responsible for determining planning applications and also for preparing planning policy for those types of development.
- 1.2 Section 110 of the Localism Act 2011 (the Localism Act) introduced a new requirement – the Duty to Co-operate (DtC), which applies to planning authorities preparing Local Plans. Authorities are required to ‘engage constructively, actively and on an on-going basis’ with other local planning authorities, County Councils and other prescribed bodies¹ in ‘maximising the effectiveness’ with which plans are prepared, so far as this relates to a ‘strategic matter’. The DtC is intended to address the strategic role previously played by regional plans.
- 1.3 ‘Strategic matters’ include sustainable development or use of land having a significant impact on at least two planning areas; and within two-tier areas they include ‘county matters’, i.e. minerals and waste development. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)² advises that provision of minerals and waste management are strategic priorities, and that public bodies have a duty to co-operate on planning issues that cross administrative boundaries, particularly those that relate to strategic priorities.
- 1.4 The Cumbria Minerals and Waste Local Plan (MWLP) includes strategic matters that impact on the District Councils within Cumbria and on a number of authority areas beyond Cumbria. The County Council has sought to engage with relevant local authorities and other bodies on strategic issues of common interest. The DtC is not a duty to agree, but authorities are expected to make every effort to secure appropriate co-operation before a Plan is submitted for examination.
- 1.5 This Statement accompanies the submission of the MWLP and seeks to demonstrate how the County Council has complied with the Duty to Co-operate in preparing the MWLP. The County Council has worked diligently to ensure that it has fulfilled the Duty to Co-operate and has compiled a log of the Duty to Co-operate activities that it has undertaken since 2014, when work on the MWLP commenced.
- 1.6 This Statement should also be read in conjunction with the Council’s Statement on Consultation and Representations (prepared under Regulation 22(1)(c)), which sets out how relevant bodies and persons have been involved in, and been consulted on, the MWLP in its preparation, in accordance with the wider

¹ prescribed Bodies are defined in Regulation 4 of The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended)

² National Planning Policy Framework, DCLG, March 2012, paragraphs 156 and 178

consultation requirements of planning legislation and the Council's Statement of Community Involvement.

- 1.7 This Statement on Compliance with the Duty to Co-operate has been prepared to set out the position up to the point of submission of the MWLP, and to inform the examination of the MWLP. It is set out as follows:
 - Section 2: Overview of approach taken to the Duty to Co-operate
 - Section 3: Summary of outcomes of Duty to Co-operate engagement
- 1.8 The Council considers that the evidence in this Statement demonstrates that it has met the Duty to Co-operate in the preparation of the MWLP. The records of specific meetings detailed in this report are available from Cumbria County Council upon request.

2.0 Overview of approach taken to the Duty to Co-operate

Strategic Matters

- 2.1 The MWLP contains policies that directly deal with the provision of strategic infrastructure for the management of waste and wastewater and the provision of minerals and energy. By its nature, the MWLP is dealing with matters of larger than local significance.
- 2.2 In the preparation of the MWLP, the following themes have been the basis for discussions under the Duty to Co-operate:
- movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries;
 - mineral supply and safeguarding;
 - nationally important minerals;
 - waste movements across administrative boundaries;
 - waste facilities of national and regional importance (greater than local);
 - protection of heritage assets of regional, national and international significance;
 - protection of biodiversity assets and habitats of national and international importance;
 - flood risk and protection of water resources;
 - transport infrastructure requirements of minerals and waste development.
- 2.3 These matters have been informed by the findings in the following evidence base and supporting documents to the MWLP:
- Waste Needs Assessment (2014, revised 2015)
 - Joint Local Aggregate Assessments (2013, 2014 and 2015)
 - Site Assessment Reports (February 2015 and January 2016)
 - Sustainability Appraisal (2015 and updated 2016)
 - Habitats Regulations Assessment (2015 and updated 2016)
 - Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2015 and updated 2016)
- 2.4 For Cumbria, the baseline position indicates that:
- Cumbria is self-sufficient in aggregates and also supplies other markets, primarily in southern Scotland and in the north of England;
 - some quarries in Cumbria produce very high specification roadstone, which has a national market;

- waste generated in Cumbria is increasingly being managed internally, with a reduction in the level of waste exported to other waste authorities for management. The waste that is exported for management to other areas is being sent to facilities closer to Cumbria than in previous years. Cumbria is moving closer to net self-sufficiency in waste management facilities;
- the Low Level Waste Repository located near Drigg is a nationally significant waste facility for the management of low level radioactive wastes.

Engagement with prescribed bodies and other organisations

2.5 The strategic minerals and waste issues identified and addressed in the MWLP have potential implications for some of the prescribed bodies listed in Regulation 4 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended). The Localism Act 2011 requires the Council to 'have regard to the activities' of prescribed bodies 'so far as they are relevant' to the preparation of the Plan. The prescribed bodies that the County Council has identified as being relevant for the purposes of the Duty to Co-operate are listed in table 2.1 below. Where appropriate, these bodies have been consulted in the preparation of the Plan, under Regulations 18 and 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.

Table 2.1: List of bodies and organisations engaged with under the Duty to Co-operate

Name	Relevant to DtC
Civil Aviation Authority	Yes
Environment Agency	Yes
Historic England (formerly English Heritage)	Yes
Office of Rail Regulation	Yes
Highways England (formerly Highways Agency)	Yes
Marine Management Organisation	Yes
Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA)	Yes
Cumbria Local Nature Partnership	Yes
Cumbria Local Enterprise Partnership	Yes

2.6 The County Council considers that the following prescribed bodies are not relevant for the purposes of the Duty to Co-operate in relation to the preparation of the Cumbria Minerals & Waste Local Plan:

- Homes and Communities Agency;
- Clinical Commissioning Group (formerly Primary Care Trust);
- National Health Service Commissioning Board;
- Transport for London; and
- Mayor of London.

- 2.7 In addition to those organisations and bodies listed in Table 2.1, the County Council has also carried out consultation with a wide range of organisations and bodies as part of the formal consultation stages on the MWLP, which took place between 19 February and 8 April 2013 (Regulation 18, erroneously entitled Regulation 19 at the time), 11 March and 11 May 2015 (Regulation 18) and 23 May and 4 July 2016 (Regulation 19). Arising from several responses to Regulation 18 consultation, a further, Supplementary Sites consultation, was also held in October and November 2015; this gave the opportunity for comment on a number of extra sites and boundary changes that had been put forward as representations to the 2015 Regulation 18 consultation on the MWLP.
- 2.8 Some of these additional organisations also have an interest in the strategic issues of the MWLP. For example, the following organisations are not explicitly covered by the Duty to Co-operate (either as a prescribed body or a body that must be given regard to), but have roles with specific interests in the strategic matters that the Plan covers:
- Low Level Waste Repository Limited
 - Nuclear Decommissioning Authority
 - Office for Nuclear Regulation
 - NuLeAF (Nuclear Legacy Advisory Forum)
 - The Coal Authority
 - Utilities providers (United Utilities, National Grid)
 - Network Rail
- 2.9 The outcome of engagement and co-operation with these prescribed bodies and additional organisations is covered in section 3 of this Statement and in the DtC monitoring table, set out within Appendix 1.

Co-operation with District Councils and National Park Authorities in Cumbria

- 2.10 There are six District Councils in Cumbria (Allerdale, Barrow, Carlisle, Copeland, Eden and South Lakeland) as well as the Lake District National Park Authority and a small part of the Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority (which falls in south east Cumbria). The District Councils have control over all district planning matters, whilst the National Parks have responsibility for all planning matters, including minerals and waste. Cumbria County Council works closely with the Cumbrian District Councils, the Lake District National Park Authority and the Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority.
- 2.11 During the preparation of the MWLP, Cumbria County Council has been holding meetings with officers from the District and National Park authorities to discuss the content of the MWLP and to discuss the progress on their own

Local Plan preparation. This has identified any potential issues between the MWLP and the District and National Park Local Plans, and the discussions covered the draft policies and allocations in the MWLP. All of these meetings have been documented and the minutes sent to the authority for their agreement. These meetings have been highly productive and allowed the County Council to explain the draft policies and allocations in the MWLP prior to formal consultation on the draft document. It has also allowed the other authorities to inform the County Council of any potential issues that might arise during the consultation process.

- 2.12 In addition, a number of meetings have been held with the Lake District National Park Authority (LDNPA) and the Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority (YDNPA) regarding the changes to the National Park boundaries. The 2015 Designation Orders were signed by the Secretary of State on 23 October 2015 and came into effect on 1 August 2016. When the National Park extensions were formally introduced, the LDNPA and the YDNPA became the minerals and waste planning authorities for their respective areas. However, the LDNPA and YDNPA are obliged to continue to apply the former minerals and waste planning authority's (Cumbria County Council) adopted policies (whether Core Strategy and Generic Development Control Policies, April 2009, or MWLP upon adoption), until such time that reviews of the LDNPA's and YDNPA's Local Plans are undertaken, to consider the issues and expressly include the areas of extension.
- 2.13 The County Council has also worked with the District authorities to ensure that the boundary of the Minerals Consultation Area is, or will be, included in their Local Plans. This allows the County Council to ensure that Minerals Safeguarding Areas are protected so that non-renewable mineral resources are not needlessly sterilised. By agreeing the Minerals Consultation Area with the District authorities, it means that the Minerals and Waste Planning Policy Team are consulted on planning applications that might have an impact on these identified areas. The team has been consulted by a number of District planning authorities on planning applications that are located in the currently designated Minerals Consultation Area.
- 2.14 An officer from the Minerals and Waste Planning Policy Team also attends a quarterly meeting called the Development Plans Officers Group. This group consists of planning policy officers who represent each of the District planning authorities and the Lake District National Park. More recently, officers from adjoining authorities (e.g. Lancaster City, Lancashire and Northumberland) have also attended or taken part via e-mail. This group provides a forum for sharing ideas of best practice, as well as hearing talks from specialists in different areas (e.g. Environment Agency, Royal Society for the Protection of Birds and Cumbria Association of Local Councils). The meetings also provide the opportunity to discuss progress with development plan preparation and to keep the group updated on any cross boundary issues. All actions arising out

of meetings are logged and circulated, and final minutes and agendas for meetings are issued at least seven days before a meeting.

- 2.15 Opportunities for preparing a joint Local Plan, on minerals and waste matters, with the Lake District National Park Authority have also been considered. The Lake District National Park Core Strategy (Local Plan Part One), which sets out the Authority's approach to mineral extraction and waste management in the National Park, was adopted in October 2010. The policy in that document was considered to be up-to-date, relevant and in conformity with the National Planning Policy Framework, at the time that the opportunity for a joint Plan arose. However, the two authorities work together in preparing joint evidence base documents in support of their Plan making; for example, the Local Aggregates Assessment and the Waste Needs Assessment. This approach is advocated by Central Government, and the key benefit for both authorities is that it enables the efficient use of financial and other resources; it also reflects that published information about sales and reserves for the quarries in the National Park cannot be separated from those for the county as a whole.

Co-operation with Neighbouring and other Local Authorities

- 2.16 As part of the Duty to Co-operate, the County Council recognises the need to work across local authority boundaries and beyond Cumbria and, therefore, engagement has also taken place with neighbouring authorities, other authorities in the North West and North East England and authorities further afield where a strategic relationship has been identified. This engagement has taken place in several ways as outlined below.
- 2.17 With regards to **minerals**, the County Council works closely with adjacent minerals authorities, such as the Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority, North Yorkshire County Council, Northumberland County Council, Lancashire County Council and Durham County Council, over the future of their quarries and the movement of minerals between the administrative areas.
- 2.18 At the regional level, Cumbria County Council is a member of the North West Aggregates Working Party (NW AWP). The NW AWP is a technical advisory group on planning for aggregates supply, the purpose of which is to produce data on aggregate demand and supply in the North West and provide advice to mineral planning authorities and the national Aggregate Co-ordinating Group. It is responsible to the Department for Communities and Local Government (CLG) and comprises officer representatives from the MPAs within the North West and representatives of the minerals industry (Mineral Products Association, British Aggregates Association and British Marine Aggregate Producers Association). Meetings are also attended by representatives from CLG and the Environment Agency, when necessary.
- 2.19 The NW AWP undertakes an annual monitoring survey of aggregate sales and reserves across the North West and also sets an annual aggregate production

apportionment for each sub-region in order to maintain production of an adequate proportion of the region's aggregate needs. The NPPF requires MPAs to participate in the operation of an Aggregate Working Party and to take its advice into account when preparing their Local Aggregates Assessment. National Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) advises that active membership of the Aggregate Working Party will help MPAs demonstrate compliance with the Duty to Co-operate. Cumbria County Council is a member of the NW AWP and a regular attendee at meetings, which are usually held twice a year.

- 2.20 The NW AWP has a key role in advising MPAs on the preparation of their Local Aggregate Assessments (LAA). These establish the levels of provision that should be made in the authority's Minerals Local Plan for aggregate mineral supply, which is a strategic issue for the Cumbria Local Plan. The Council's involvement in the NW AWP is, therefore, very relevant to the requirements of the Duty to Co-operate.
- 2.21 Cumbria County Council prepared its third LAA jointly with the Lake District National Park Authority in 2015. The LAA was based on sales and reserves data for the calendar year 2014, collected from mineral operators in Cumbria. This data is confidential and can only be used in aggregated formats that do not disclose the sales and markets of individual operators. The LAA also took account of comments made by the NW AWP Secretariat and its wider membership.
- 2.22 Particular importance is attached to the discussions with the Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority, with regard to high specification aggregates that are used in road building and maintenance for their high skid resistance properties. Currently the National Planning Policy Framework requires that local planning authorities should, as far as is practical, provide for the maintenance of landbanks of non-energy minerals, such as aggregates, from outside National Parks. The high specification aggregate resources are nationally scarce, but three such quarries operate in Cumbria and three in the Yorkshire Dales National Park. If national policy were to change and insist that further aggregate extraction within the Park must cease, then Cumbria may have to take up the slack in high specification aggregates production.
- 2.23 In relation to **waste**, the County Council is actively engaged in formal networks on waste in the North West. Cumbria County Council is part of the North West Waste Network. This is a group made up of North West waste planning authorities, which meets or liaises via e-mail regularly. This is an opportunity for waste planning officers, and a representative from the Environment Agency, to meet to discuss best practice and any cross boundary issues.
- 2.24 In 2014, an exercise was undertaken by the County Council, looking at the pattern of waste movements to and from Cumbria. This sought to identify the strategic movements of waste that have the potential to impact upon waste

management facility provision in Cumbria or that of other waste planning authorities. In order to determine which waste planning authorities to write to in relation to the Cumbria waste exports, a selection process was undertaken using the data from the Environment Agency Waste Data Interrogators 2006-2012. As a result, 50 waste planning authorities were identified for contact following selection on the basis of the following two criteria:

- authorities that usually receive very little or no waste from Cumbria, but in recent years there was an anomaly and a relatively large amount was received from Cumbria; and
- authorities who consistently receive a significant (over 500 tonnes) amount of waste from Cumbria

2.25 Whilst Scotland is not specifically covered by the provisions of the Duty to Co-operate, it has also been considered important to liaise and co-operate with authorities across the border, given the geographical links and potential for cross boundary issues.

2.26 This exercise was undertaken again in 2016, to ensure that the County Council has the most up-to-date information in relation to the strategic movements of waste that have the potential to impact upon waste management facility provision within Cumbria or that of other waste planning authorities.

2.27 Table 2.2 sets out the waste planning authorities that were identified and contacted:

Table 2.2: List of Local Authorities contacted under Duty to Co-operate in relation to Waste Exports

Local Authority		
Barking & Dagenham	Hartlepool	Redcar & Cleveland
Barnsley	Hertfordshire	Rotherham
Bedford	Inverness & Nairn	Rutland UA
Birmingham City	Islington	Salford
Bolton	Kent	Sefton
Bromley	Kingston Upon Hull City	Sheffield
Bury	Kirklees	Somerset
Caerphilly UA	Knowsley	Southwark
Cambridgeshire	Lancashire	St Helens
Cheshire East	Leeds	Staffordshire
Cheshire West & Chester	Lincolnshire	Stockton-on-Tees
City of Derby	Liverpool	Stoke-on-Trent City
County Durham	Manchester	Suffolk
Croydon	Medway	Sunderland
Darlington	Middlesbrough	Surrey
Derbyshire	Newcastle Upon Tyne	Tameside
Devon	Newham	Trafford
Doncaster	Newport	Wakefield
Dumfries & Galloway	North Lanarkshire	Walsall

East Ayrshire	North Lincolnshire	Warrington
East Riding of Yorkshire	North Tyneside	Warwickshire
East Sussex	North Yorkshire	West Sussex
Flintshire UA	Northumberland	Wiltshire
Gateshead	Nottinghamshire	Wirral
Halton	Oxfordshire	Wolverhampton
Hampshire	North Lanarkshire	Wrexham UA
Harrow	Peterborough UA	York UA

2.28 No major strategic issues or objections were raised as a result of the exercises undertaken in 2014 and in 2016. Any information received in relation to particular sites was taken into consideration and the MWLP was updated where necessary. The outcomes of these exercises can be found set out within Appendix 1.

Co-operation with District/Neighbouring Authorities and Organisations in relation to Radioactive Waste

2.29 With regard to the radioactive waste industry, the County Council is a key stakeholder and undertakes proactive engagement in the process of life time planning at the nuclear sites in Cumbria. Through its waste planning responsibilities, the Council is also a regulator. The County Council meets regularly with operators and regulators of the radioactive waste industry to discuss the future management of radioactive waste arising from decommissioning of nuclear facilities.

2.30 The Local Government Association's Nuclear Legacy Advisory Forum (NuLeAF) is a voluntary, subscription-based group of waste planning authorities. A Steering Group of officers and councillors meets quarterly, as does a Radioactive Waste Planning Group (RWPG) comprised of officers. Ad hoc meetings are also arranged to bring together representatives from waste planning authorities, the nuclear industry, the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority, the Environment Agency and other regulators. As a full member of NuLeAF, the County Council is a regular contributor to and attendee at meetings of RWPG, and also attends some Steering Group meetings. RWPG agendas now include a regular item on DtC, providing opportunity to raise relevant issues for discussion.

2.31 RWPG meetings provide regular opportunity to discuss strategic radioactive waste management issues, as well as discussing, and sharing best practice, on the preparation of waste plans and policies for radioactive waste in Local Plans. Membership of NuLeAF has enabled discussion with authorities that may be affected by or have interests in the management of radioactive waste. It has proved to be a valuable forum for developing the radioactive waste policies (SP4-Transparent decision making, SP5-Development criteria for low level radioactive waste sites and SP6-Higher activity radioactive wastes treatment, management and storage) within the MWLP.

- 2.32 During the preparation of the MWLP, Cumbria County Council has been holding meetings with officers from the District Councils, in particular with Copeland Borough Council, in relation to radioactive waste. Numerous relevant meetings have been attended by County Council and Copeland officers and members, including the West Cumbria Site Stakeholder Group and NuLeAF. Specific DtC meetings have also been held annually with Copeland Borough Council; the last meeting was held in February 2016.
- 2.33 The main strategic issue raised by Copeland BC relates to their objection to the allocation of site CO32 (land adjacent to Sellafied) in the MWLP. However, this particular allocation was identified in 2009, primarily in response to Sellafied Ltd putting forward this NDA-owned land for a waste park in 2007, which would attract commercial enterprises to develop new and innovative waste treatment technologies. Although this proposal was subsequently dropped, more recent discussions with Sellafied Ltd have led to identification of the land for potential storage of construction and demolition waste arising from Sellafied's decommissioning, which cannot be accommodated on the space-constrained site. This is in addition to the potential for the NDA-owned land to be able to host the successor to the onsite Calder Landfill Extension Segregated Area (CLESA) once that landfill is full, around 2025. A feasibility study was carried out by Sellafied Ltd in 2013, to investigate this potential. The County Council consider that the allocation of site CO32 and the range of uses for which it could be considered, is in accord with Sellafied Ltd's and the NDA's decommissioning strategy. Discussions with Copeland Borough Council on this matter are ongoing.
- 2.34 As the management of radioactive waste is an important issue for Cumbria, ad hoc meetings on particular topics are convened with the relevant operators in the county – the Nuclear Decommissioning Authority (NDA), Sellafied Ltd and the Low Level Waste Repository (LLWR) Ltd. The last such meeting was held with all three organisations in August 2015, to discuss the radioactive waste chapter of the draft MWLP.
- 2.35 The National Waste Programme was established to implement the UK's strategy for the management of solid low level radioactive waste (LLW) from the nuclear industry. It is an industry-wide collaboration led by LLWR Ltd on behalf of the NDA. The County Council attends the quarterly LLW National Programme Regulatory meetings, where issues such as progress on diverting wastes from the Repository and on reducing waste arisings at source, as well as improving forecasting of arisings, are discussed. Regulators also attend the meetings and provide updates on key workstreams and areas of interest pertinent to the LLW National Programme, such as permit variations at LLW disposal sites across the UK. Engagement with the National Waste Programme gives greater insight into how the Repository and LLW are managed and what issues may arise for Cumbria.

- 2.36 When consulted, the County Council also provides responses to draft Local Plans produced by other authorities where the interests of Cumbria could be affected. For example, the County Council has responded to consultations from North Tyneside Council, Cheshire East Council and North Yorkshire County Council, as these authorities did not provide information on the management of LLW from establishments such as hospitals or education facilities within their areas. The responses from Cumbria encourage these authorities to consider the management options for this waste stream, rather than assume that the waste could be transported to Cumbria for management. The LLW Repository in Cumbria is a finite resource and there are alternative options to this highly engineered facility for the management of such waste.
- 2.37 The County Council also assist and provide comments to other Waste Planning Authorities who manage radioactive waste. For example, the County Council has meet with Somerset County Council and liaised via e-mail, to discuss and provide comments on their Radioactive Waste Topic Paper, which has been prepared to support the development of their Minerals and Waste Local Plan.

3.0 Summary of outcomes of co-operation

- 3.1 The table set out within Appendix 1 details the outcomes of the engagement carried out under the Duty to Co-operate in the preparation of the Cumbria MWLP.
- 3.2 The table focuses on the strategic matters of concern between the two parties, how these have been dealt with and if there are any outstanding matters. It should, however, be recognised that comments and suggestions may also have been made at the formal consultation stages on the MWLP on specific policies and proposals in the Plan that do not address strategic matters. Where this is the case, the responses to these issues are set out within the Statement of Consultation.
- 3.3 The County Council has taken the outcomes of the co-operation into account as follows:
- feeding the results of the consultation on waste movements into the update to the Waste Needs Assessment to accompany the draft MWLP;
 - ensuring policies in the MWLP address any of the strategic matters raised;
 - making provisions for ongoing engagement following adoption of the MWLP on strategic matters, as they relate to the Plan, and the effectiveness of this to be monitored and reported in the Authority Monitoring Report.
- 3.4 To date, the County Council has had a high level of co-operation with public bodies and other authorities; it is considered that this has resulted in a robust and solid basis for the approach set out within the MWLP. However, the process of co-operation will not end once the MWLP has been adopted. The County Council will continue to work diligently with neighbouring authorities and other Duty to Co-operate bodies, with the objective of ensuring that all relevant cross-boundary issues are properly addressed through a plan-led approach, which is mutually beneficial for Cumbria County Council and its neighbouring authorities.

Appendix 1: Duty to Co-operate Monitoring Table

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
Allerdale Borough Council	Interaction between MWLP and other strategic policies in Local Plans Waste management provision	Meetings (December 2012 and March 2014).	No significant issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.	Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation and comments made at each stage of preparation taken into account.
		Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation (2014, 2015 and 2016).	No strategic issues raised. Allerdale Borough Council has made the following comments in relation to the Submission draft Plan: Site AL3 (Oldside, Workington): Allerdale Borough Council welcomes the reference to a potential Energy from Waste plant on this site in the Site Assessments document. Site M24 (Derwent Howe Slag Bank, Workington): Allerdale Borough Council welcomes the reference to the protection of the redevelopment of the old Corus site should redevelopment occur at Derwent Howe Slag Bank.	
		Discussion with Allerdale BC re Derwent Howe Slag Bank- 21.06.16	Discussions around what is happening with the slag extraction site at Derwent Howe; for example, with regard to future restoration	
Association of Greater Manchester Authorities	Movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letters to Bolton Council (dated 17.12.13), Salford Council (dated 24.12.13) and Trafford Council (dated 24.12.13)	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.	Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation and comments made at each stage of preparation taken into account.
		Formal consultation at key stages in the Plan preparation		
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16		
Barnsley Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Letter sent to Barnsley Council about movements to the Monckton Coke and Chemical	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Barnsley throughout the

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
		Co. Ltd site following the response from Sheffield City Council on 20.02.14		preparation of their Local Plan.
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	
Barrow Borough Council	Interaction between MWLP and other strategic policies in Local Plans. Waste management provision	Meeting to discuss progress on Barrow Borough Council's Local Plan, to discuss Barrow's representation on the MWLP and to discuss the new site allocation proposals put forward in that consultation (Roosecote Quarry, Goldmire Quarry and South Walney former landfill) Last meeting was held on 4 August 2015 Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation (2014, 2015 and 2016).	Barrow Borough Council has provided comments on the MWLP with suggested improvements and matters requiring further consideration. Following the meeting with Barrow on 4 August 2015, a number of these issues have been resolved. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> changes to policy SAP6 (safeguarding of existing and potential railheads and wharves), whereby Barrow Port and rail sidings were now proposed for safeguarding; this allocation does not intend any changes to the Port, but supports its continued use for the minerals and/or waste industries. Goldmire Quarry is no longer included as an allocation for waste Roosecote sand and gravel quarry – expansion of this quarry to the other side of a road has been changed to a future Area of Search, but a new Preferred Area has been identified directly adjacent to the current operations. Barrow Borough Council did not provide a response to the Regulation 19 consultation of the MWLP.	To continue to liaise with Barrow BC throughout the preparation of their Local Plan. Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation and comments made by Barrow BC at each stage of preparation taken into account.
Bedford Borough Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16 Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 17.12.13	Stewartby landfill no longer accepts waste and is being restored. The movement of 12,003 tonnes of non-hazardous waste from Cumbria into Bedford Borough in 2011 was something of an anomaly and this situation is not likely to recur, because Stewartby Landfill Site closed	The loss of this site in Bedford will be taken into consideration in the Waste Needs Assessment.

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			<p>at the end of 2011 and there are currently no non-hazardous landfill sites operating in Bedford Borough or the wider Plan Area.</p> <p>The importation of waste from areas as remote from Bedford Borough as Cumbria is something that Bedford would seek to discourage through the imposition of catchment area restrictions on any future landfill consents.</p> <p>Rookery Pit in Bedford Borough is identified in Bedford's Minerals and Waste Local Plan Strategic Sites and Policies Document as a strategic waste management site for the landfilling of 5.5 million tonnes of non-hazardous waste. As of yet, a planning application has not been forthcoming for this.</p> <p>Permission was granted on part of the same site in 2013, under Development Consent Order procedures, for the development of a Resource Recovery Facility, comprising an Energy-from-Waste Facility and a post-treatment Materials Recovery Facility. The applicant, Covanta, has recently announced its intention to proceed with this in partnership with Veolia. The Statement Indicates the intention to build an Energy-from-Waste facility with the capacity to process 480,000 tonnes municipal, commercial and industrial residual waste per annum.</p>	<p>No further action required. At the present time Bedford does not anticipate any significant waste capacity issues within their Plan Area.</p>
Birmingham City Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 17.12.13	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Birmingham throughout the preparation of the Local Plan.
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	
London Borough of Bromley	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with London Borough of Bromley throughout the

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
				preparation of the Local Plan.
Caerphilly County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p>Policy SP9 in the Caerphilly County Borough Local Development Plan up to 2021 adopted November 2016 aims to encourage a sustainable approach to waste management and there are a number of waste recycling and recovery facilities throughout the county borough.</p> <p>The amount of waste sent from Caerphilly to Cumbria in 2011 is relatively small, although it is significant for Cumbria as a rural area. It is not clear what the source of the waste was or why it was sent to Cumbria instead of being managed locally. However, as you are aware, waste is a commodity and as such is subject to market forces, which can result in it travelling long distances in certain circumstances.</p> <p>The background paper to the draft replacement local development plan concludes that the land allocation for in-building waste management facilities is adequate to meet the regional allocation of 10.4 ha.</p>	No further action required
Cambridgeshire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p>The Environment Agency's Waste Data Interrogator records the movement of 1,600 tonnes of non-hazardous waste sent by Network Rail Infrastructure from Cambridgeshire to Cumbria.</p> <p>With regard to this waste flow, Network Rail's National Track Recycling Centre is located at Whitemoor. This facility and associated railhead is protected in the adopted Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Core Strategy (2011) and Site Specific Proposals Plan (2012). The facility deals with recycling spent rail ballast and providing new ballast for track repairs. However, it is hard to speculate if this waste flow will be repeated (recent waste interrogator information suggests that it</p>	To continue to liaise with Cambridgeshire County Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan.

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			has not been). With regard to other waste management facilities there are no issues arising which would give rise to further liaison between the two authorities.	
Carlisle City Council	Interaction between MWLP and other strategic policies in Local Plans	Meetings to discuss the progress of the Carlisle City Council Local Plan, to discuss Carlisle's representation on the MWLP and to discuss the 3 new sites put forward as part of the Regulation 18 consultation on the MWLP. Last meeting held 31 July 2015.	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters. Comments have been made on previous drafts of the MWLP with suggested improvements and matters requiring further consideration on the proposed site allocations within Carlisle. However the Council confirmed in their consultation response to the Regulation 19 draft MWLP that all their previous comments have been dealt with and they have no further comments to make.	To continue to liaise with Carlisle City Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan.
		Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation		
Charnwood Borough Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	No strategic issues raised	To continue to liaise with Charnwood Borough Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan.
Cheshire East Council	Movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	No issues or objections raised	To continue to liaise with Cheshire East Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan. Liaison with Cheshire East when they publish their draft Minerals and Waste Policies and Site Allocations document
	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16		

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
Cheshire West and Chester Council	Movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	<p>No strategic issues raised. However it should be noted that the Former Hoyer UK site – Sims group (WEEE facility) has now closed, the operation has moved to the company's base in Newport. The Cheshire Waste Management Centre – Tradebe - is still operational.</p> <p>For exports, the 'not codeable' area refers to the former Cheshire County Council area and therefore the origin could be/or could include the Cheshire East area.</p> <p>On hazardous waste, there are no plans to change the capacity of existing facilities in Cheshire West and Chester (the most significant being the Ellesmere Port high temperature incinerator and the Minosus facility at Winsford Rock Salt Mine). The presence of these facilities means that the borough is a net importer of hazardous waste from around the country.</p> <p>In terms of the overall capacity at CWAC's waste management facilities, they have sufficient capacity to meet the borough's forecast needs. This surplus capacity includes planning permissions which have not yet been brought forward, and we are keeping this situation under review.</p>	To continue to liaise with Cheshire West and Chester Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan.
	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16		
City of Derby Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with City of Derby Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
Civil Aviation Authority	Transport infrastructure in support of minerals and waste activity	Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation	Informed Cumbria County Council that it is not necessary to consult with the CAA on strategic planning documents unless the documents have direct aviation involvement	Consultation spreadsheet to be updated to reflect this information.

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
Coal Authority	Coal and UCG Licences in Cumbria	Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation	No issues or objections arising	Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation.
Copeland Borough Council	Interaction between MWLP and other strategic policies in Local Plans	Meeting to discuss radioactive waste and the Cumbria Local Plan (February 2016).	The main issues discussed included radioactive waste principles, the provision of a policy towards a Geological Disposal Facility, site allocation next to Sellafield (full minutes from the meeting are available on request).	<p>CCC and Copeland Borough Council to liaise about progress with nuclear new build, National Grid and Sellafield.</p> <p>CCC to continue to liaise with Copeland Borough Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan.</p>
		Formal consultation at key stages in the Plan preparation	<p>Copeland Borough Council provided comments on the Regulation 19 consultation of the MWLP. A number of comments/suggestions for improvement to the wording of a number of policies have been suggested. These have been taken into consideration and, where considered necessary, CCC has recorded them in the Table of Minor Modifications.</p> <p>Comments have also been made on the proposed site allocations within Copeland. In particular, Copeland objects to the allocation of site CO32. This site was first allocated in 2009, primarily in response to Sellafield Ltd putting forward this NDA-owned land for a waste park in 2007, which would attract commercial enterprises to develop new and innovative waste treatment technologies. Although this proposal was subsequently dropped, more recent discussions with Sellafield Ltd led to identification of the land for potential storage of construction and demolition waste arising from Sellafield's decommissioning, which cannot be accommodated on the space-constrained site. This is in addition to the potential for the NDA-owned land to be able to host the successor to the onsite landfill, once it is full, around 2025. A feasibility study was carried out by Sellafield Ltd in 2013, to investigate this potential. The allocation of site CO32 and the range of uses for which it could be considered, is in accord with Sellafield Ltd's and the NDA's decommissioning.</p>	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			A detailed discussion on the need for, and purpose of, this allocation is set out within the MWLP (paragraphs 18.17 to 18.21).	
		Various meetings to discuss Copeland's response to the MWLP. The last meeting to discuss the Regulation 19 consultation was held on 12 July 2016	The main items discussed were: how Copeland's comments on the Regulation 18 consultation had been addressed; the portrayal of the nuclear industry in the MWLP; correction of some facts; the relationship of the MWLP to two potential major infrastructure projects in Cumbria; and site CO32. Discussion led to the refinement of Copeland's response to the Regulation 19 MWLP consultation.	
London Borough of Croydon	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with London Borough of Croydon throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
Cumbria Local Nature Partnership	Biodiversity and habitat protection and creation Climate change and adaptation	Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.	Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation
Cumbria Local Enterprise Partnership	Economic development contribution of minerals and waste industry	Formal consultation at key stages in the Plan preparation	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.	Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation
Darlington Borough Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 11.12.13.	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Darlington Borough Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
Derbyshire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 23.12.13	No strategic issues raised	To continue to liaise with Derbyshire County Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	
Devon County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	No strategic issues raised. With regards to hazardous waste, there is no reason to believe that there will be a change (reduction) in hazardous waste capacity in Devon, and the movements from Cumbria to Devon are small scale and insignificant in any case.	To continue to liaise with Devon County Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 11.12.13.		
Doncaster Metropolitan Borough Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with Doncaster Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.01.14		
Dumfries and Galloway Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Share information on border coal and UCG proposals	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.	To continue to liaise with D&G Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan D&G to keep CCC informed about their forthcoming Supplementary Guidance
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	D&G Council are seeking to construct a new composting facility in their area which will eliminate the need to transfer garden waste to Cumbria.	
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate dated 14.06.16	There are coal and gas proposals on the Scottish border and offshore from the north and west of Cumbria that may affect both areas. This has also been discussed at a meeting on 30.10.14 D&G Council is to prepare supplementary guidance to include maps showing any land where planning permission for coal working has been granted and any other land where a mineral resource should be safeguarded. D&G must identify broad areas where surface coal extraction may be acceptable. Kier Mining proposals for coal extraction may also impact on CCC.	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
<p>Durham County Council</p>	<p>Movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries</p>	<p>Request from Durham County Council to complete a form that demonstrates that they have met the Duty to Co-operate requirements.</p>	<p>Form completed and no issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.</p>	<p>To continue to liaise with Durham County Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan.</p> <p>To monitor the progress of Durham County Council's Local Plan.</p>
	<p>Mineral supply and safeguarding</p>	<p>Discussions with Durham County Council regarding some further information on exploratory drilling in the N. Pennines.</p>	<p>Durham County Council agrees with the approach to dormant zinc permissions in North Pennines AONB, but would wish to keep the situation under review. Durham County Council welcomes the designation of MSA's in Cumbria and the approach to aggregates and high spec roadstone.</p>	
	<p>Waste movements across administrative boundaries</p>	<p>Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13</p>	<p>No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.</p>	
		<p>Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16</p>	<p>No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.</p> <p>The North East Minerals and Waste Policy Officers' Group meetings (in which Cumbria County Council play an active role) provide a forum to discuss new capacity and changes in existing capacity. An exercise has recently been carried out to capture the new capacity permitted in the North East region since the original study of 2012 on LLW capacity. The final version of this update note was circulated in January 2016.</p> <p>This regional update note has been endorsed by the Environment Agency as best practice in Duty to Co-operate. They note that the methodology and assumptions are as robust as they can be, given that the actual provision of sites is driven by the market.</p>	
		<p>Discussion with Durham CC re County Durham Plan Issues and Options, July 2016</p>	<p>No strategic issues raised but CCC provided a formal response to the Issues and Options 4.08.16</p>	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
East Ayrshire	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with East Ayrshire Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan.
East Lothian Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 29.01.14	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with East Lothian Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
East Riding of Yorkshire	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 23.12.13	No strategic issues raised	To continue to liaise with East Riding of Yorkshire throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	Biowise Albion Lane Composting Facility: Biowise Ltd has an anaerobic digester, which is not time limited but the composting operations are time limited to 19.07.2018 Plots 1, 2 & 7 Brighton Airfield: Credential Environmental Ltd is a specialist tyre recycling operation, less critical therefore in terms of cross boundary waste movements.	
East Sussex County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	No strategic issues raised. For information, the South East Waste Planning Advisory Group agreed on the 10 April 2014 that movements of 5,000tpa of inert waste and/or 2,500tpa of non-hazardous waste and/or 100tpa and over for hazardous waste is considered to be of strategic importance. East Sussex County Council agrees with this position. It is noted that there is cross-border movement of waste, and while it is national policy for it to be treated as close to source, this is not always possible particularly in the case of	To continue to liaise with East Sussex CC regarding waste movements between Cumbria and East Sussex.

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			hazardous waste. Should the Cumbria and Lake District National Park Waste Local Plan make sufficient provision for the waste arising in Cumbria and Lake District National Park, it is considered that communication beyond regular consultation is not required. Similarly, an MoU is not required. If volumes of waste were to alter over time, East Sussex CC would review the situation.	
Eden District Council	Interaction between MWLP and other strategic policies in Local Plans.	Joint meeting with (Carlisle City Council, Eden District Council and Northumberland County Council) (May 2014)	<p>No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.</p> <p>A variety of strategic cross-boundary topics were discussed between the four authorities to identify any potential issues. The issues discussed included: housing; gypsies and travellers; retail and employment; renewables; minerals and waste; transport and infrastructure; green infrastructure, AONB and Local Nature Partnerships; whole plan viability and CIL; and neighbourhood planning. Experiences of engagement with PINS were shared.</p>	To continue to consult Eden District Council on future drafts of the Local Plan.
		Meeting to update EDC to discuss two new sites in Eden that were submitted in response to the Regulation 18 consultation (Blencowe and Flusco) (July 2015).	No objections raised in response to the new sites in Eden.	
		Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation.	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters	
		Meeting with EDC re M18 Stamphill (22 July 2016)	No objections raised in response to the inclusion of M18. Eden recognises that minerals can only be worked where they occur. They support the proposed allocation in principle and acknowledge that the continued extraction of gypsum is likely to safeguard jobs and may assist in the regeneration of the wider area.	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			Eden support the recognition in the draft plan that detailed assessments are required to address potential impacts and mitigation of impacts on wildlife, on the North Pennines SPA, the River Eden SAC and the Moor House-Upper Teesdale SAC, on the setting of the North Pennines AONB, and on Long Marton Village and other properties. Because open cast working is proposed, the use of best practice mitigation to address impacts from dust, noise, vibration and water quality is supported. Potential transport impacts arising during the construction phase of opencast working would need to be addressed.	
Environment Agency	Flood risk Climate change mitigation and adaptation Biodiversity protection Waste management capacity and new sites Protection of water resources/water table issues	Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters made to date. Comments have been made on previous drafts of the MWLP with suggested improvements and matters requiring further consideration on the proposed site allocations. EA confirmed in their consultation response to the Regulation 19 draft MWLP that they have no further comments to make and are satisfied that their comments provided at previous stages of consultation have been included.	Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation and comments made at each stage of preparation taken into account.
Flintshire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.02.14	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Flintshire County Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
Falkirk Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with Falkirk Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
Gateshead Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	There could potentially be a capacity issue with landfill in Gateshead towards the end of their plan period (2030).	The situation with long-term landfill capacity in Gateshead will be taken into consideration in the Waste Needs Assessment.
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p>No strategic issues raised.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes the facilities referred to are still operating in Gateshead - The reception of waste at these sites is subject to market contracts, largely outside the control of Gateshead Council. The approach to waste in Gateshead has been looked at collaboratively with other authorities in the North East, underpinning our Core Strategy and policies for waste to 2030. Landfill capacity will be depleted by the end of the plan period but other types of waste facility will hopefully emerge. - In the longer term therefore it is a trend that is unlikely to continue (Blaydon landfill will cease receiving waste by 2026) - The movement of hazardous waste is the subject of private contracts, and in general terms Gateshead has sufficient waste management capacity to 2030. 	
Hampshire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with Hampshire County Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p><u>Hazardous waste (238 – 645 tonnes from Cumbria to Hampshire)</u></p> <p>From information supplied from the HWDI, the vast majority of hazardous waste exported from Cumbria was received at a New Forest district facility offering incineration. There is only one operational facility currently operating in the New Forest district of Hampshire which could process hazardous waste via incineration at this point in time – this is the Tradebe high temperature hazardous waste incinerator, located at Fawley. There are no reasons that similar</p>	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			<p>hazardous waste movements tonnages cannot continue in future to the Fawley incinerator which has a permanent planning permission.</p> <p>While there are no current movements of hazardous waste from Hampshire to Cumbria, the background evidence base for the HMWP identified the national LLW Repository near Drigg in Cumbria as a nationally important site for this type of waste. Therefore, Hampshire CC would support the ongoing safeguarding of this facility.</p>	
London Borough of Harrow	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	No strategic issues raised. There should therefore be no capacity issues and need for future exports to Cumbria.	No further action required
Hartlepool Borough Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 24.12.13	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with Hartlepool Borough Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p><u>Non-hazardous waste</u> All of the sites listed are still operating and there are no planning reasons as to why the identified sites cannot continue to receive waste from Cumbria.</p> <p><u>Hazardous waste</u> There is not likely to be any change in facility capacity in Hartlepool.</p>	
Hertfordshire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 24.12.13	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Hertfordshire County Council over significant waste movements where appropriate.
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p>Hertfordshire considers that similar waste movements to those at least in the previous year will continue into the foreseeable future. In terms of current capacity limitations, the shortfall of waste capacity is for Local Authority Collected Waste and LAC Composting and Commercial and Industrial Residual available for treatment.</p> <p>With regard to future capacity at the existing waste management facilities, a particular issue is that</p>	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			<p>Hertfordshire has limited capacity for non-hazardous and hazardous landfill. There is one remaining non-hazardous landfill site which has a limited lifespan and no landfill capacity for hazardous waste.</p> <p>In terms of waste movements to Hertfordshire and the reliance upon the continued operation of these sites, Cumbria has in the past sent a small amount of hazardous waste and metal waste to three companies: Redbournbury Treatment Plant, Hartnell Metals and TES Oil and Water Plant. It should be noted that the entry on the Waste Data Interrogator for 2014, of AWA Mead Industrial Park is a site located within Essex.</p> <p>Two of these sites benefit from permanent planning permission with no operational issues that would result in current and assumed future levels of waste not being managed at these sites. Permanent waste management facilities in Hertfordshire are safeguarded under the provision of Waste Core Strategy and DM Policy 5: Safeguarding of Sites. Hartnell Metals is no longer operating and as such would not be available to take any future waste.</p>	
Highland Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p>The hazardous waste (221 tonnes from Inverness and Nairn to Cumbria in 2011) is "mineral-based non-chlorinated engine, gear and lubricating oils". That transfer has no connection to The Highland Council waste arisings.</p> <p>It is likely that this amount of oil will be generated annually in the area and will be required to go to a reprocessor somewhere for treatment. The destination will depend on the contractors and reprocessors active in the market at the time.</p> <p>However, if there are figures for subsequent years</p>	No further action required

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			and those are not showing hazardous waste movements from this area to Cumbria then Waste Engine Oil from this area must have been going elsewhere. For information, The Highland Council itself diverts around 40 tonnes a year of Waste Engine Oil to Northburn in Aberdeen.	
Highways Agency	Transport infrastructure in support of minerals and waste activity	Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation	No strategic issues raised	Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation, as may be required.
Hull City Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 24.12.13	The Transwaste site is located in East Riding of Yorkshire.	To continue to liaise with Hull City Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	
London Borough of Islington	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with the London Borough of Islington throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
Kent County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council 24.12.13	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Kent County Council over significant waste movements, where appropriate.
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	There appears to be a significant flow of paper and cardboard waste products into Cumbria with a high point in 2012. This may well represent the fact that Aylesford Newsprint (recyclers of waste paper to form new paper products) were still operational at a relatively high level activity. The company (Aylesford Newsprint) were having financial difficulties that lead to a downturn of the activity at the site, this may indicate that 2012	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			<p>represented a 'high water mark' not to be repeated in subsequent years. The company officially closed in early 2015, thus it is unlikely that Cumbria will receive imports of paper/card wastes to the degree it experienced in 2012 again.</p> <p>The soon to be adopted Kent Minerals and Waste Local Plan 2013-30 seeks to achieve net self-sufficiency, increased (0.5 mtpa) capacity in the recovery level of the defined Waste Hierarchy will be required over the life of the plan to achieve this. Sites will be identified in the future Kent Waste Sites Plan to achieve this strategy objective.</p>	
Kirklees Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council 11.12.13	No response received	To continue to liaise with Kirklees Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council 14.06.16	No response received	
Lake District National Park Authority	<p>Interaction between MWLP and other strategic policies in Local Plans.</p> <p>Joint evidence base preparation on minerals</p>	Meetings (December 2012 and October 2013) - issues discussed included: joint working opportunities; Local Aggregates Assessment; status of LDNPA quarries; waste; local plan evidence base; and duty to co-operate	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters but need to ensure allocations/policies for Kendal Fell are consistent across Local Plans for South Lakeland, National Park and CCC.	<p>To continue to liaise with Lake District National Park Authority throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan and their Local Plan review.</p> <p>To continue to meet with the LDNPA in order to continue to share experiences and resources to address cross-boundary issues.</p>
		Peer Review of elements of the LDNPA 2010 Core Strategy (February 2015)	LDNP consider the DtC Statement to be acceptable and are satisfied that it covers the main objectives of looking at strategic issues that have cross boundary implications. Agreement that a meeting should be set up to discuss the LAA methodology in light of the MPA LAA Guidance.	
		Meeting (July 2015) - issues discussed included LAA Guidance and DtC	Look at the MPA LAA guidance and review the LAA methodology if necessary.	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
		Meeting/discussion regarding extensions to National Park	National Park boundary to be amended. No quarries that are currently situated within the Cumbria County Council authority area will fall within the expanded Lake District National Park.	
		Meeting 11 August 2016 – issues discussed included LDNPA's Core Strategy review and joint 2016 LAA	Issues for LDNPA Core Strategy review identified and co-operation on mineral survey returns discussed.	
Lancashire County Council (also on behalf of Blackburn with Darwen Council)	Movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 11.12.13	No Strategic Issues raised. Whitemoss Hazardous Landfill currently has planning permission until 2018. An application has been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate for further landfilling.	The situation with the Whitemoss landfill site will be considered in the Waste Needs Assessment.
	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letters to Blackburn with Darwen Council (dated 17.12.13) and Lancashire County Council (dated 02.01.14).	No strategic issues raised. Whitemoss Hazardous Waste Landfill currently has planning permission to continue to accept waste to the end of 2018, though an application has been submitted to the Planning Inspectorate (under the provisions of the Planning Act 2008) for further landfilling. The release of some long term strategic landfill void at Whitney Hill Landfill site is dependent on void creation through quarrying.	To continue to liaise with Lancashire County Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Lancashire County Council through the North West Waste Network
Leeds City Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	The Nutramulch site has closed down.	The loss of this site in Leeds will be taken into consideration in the Waste Needs Assessment.
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	
Leicestershire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with Leicestershire County Council

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
				throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
Lincolnshire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	No strategic issues raised	To continue to liaise with Lincolnshire County Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Comments requested on CCC Waste Needs Assessment Supplement Report	No response received.	
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	
Low Level Waste Repository Ltd	Radioactive waste movements	Comments provided on CCC Waste Needs Assessment update report 10.08.15	No strategic issues raised.	The issues raised will be incorporated into the WNA. CCC will continue to liaise with LLWR Ltd throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Attendance at LLW National Programme Regulatory Meetings 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016	Issues discussed include: LLWR Metallic Framework, NWP progress, Regulatory Perspectives (including CCC, EA and SEPA), National Waste Programme project scopes	
		Meeting with LLWR, NDA and Sellafield Ltd regarding Radioactive Waste in the MWLP - 11 August 2015	No strategic issues raised.	
		Workshop led by LLWR regarding Best Available Technique consideration for VLLW - 23 February 2016	No strategic issues raised.	
Marine Management Organisation	Minerals supply and safeguarding	Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation.	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters made to date. Comments and suggestions have been made on issues to consider further and improvements to the plan. These have been taken into account in drafting the next versions of the plan. In summary these comments and suggestions covered the following:	Continued liaison with the MMO as necessary.

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> consideration of marine dredged supplies of sand and the protection of wharfs including impacts of trends for marine aggregate extraction on environmental, social and economic balance. 	
Medway Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	No strategic issues raised.	Continued liaison with Medway Council in relation to future waste movements.
Merseyside Environmental Advisory Service (on behalf of St. Helens, Liverpool, Halton, Sefton, Wirral and Knowsley)	Movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letters to Knowsley Council (dated 11.12.13), Liverpool City Council (dated 24.12.13), St. Helen's Metropolitan Borough Council (dated 24.12.13) and Wirral (dated 24.12.13).	Capacity at Lyme and Wood Pitts is time limited to 12 June 2016. Blagden Staniford Packaging Ltd. surrendered their license in 2010. The Global Environmental Recycling Company Ltd. Site has been recently cleared.	The limitations at this site will be taken into consideration in the Waste Needs Assessment. To continue to liaise with MEAS in relation to future waste movements.
	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p>Halton Non-Hazardous waste - No new hazardous waste treatment capacity has been brought forward in Halton in 2014-15. In Widnes, following a fly infestation in 2013, Centrol Recycling Ltd lost its appeal against having its permit revoked in April 2015, and went into administration in May 2015.</p> <p>Knowsley Hazardous waste - Planning permission (14/00481/FUL) was granted in 2014 for installation of new plant at Future Industrial Services Limited, Acornfield Road, Knowsley Industrial Park, Kirkby, Knowsley, L33 7SP. This physio-chemical facility has a permitted capacity of 235,000tpa.</p> <p>Liverpool Non-Hazardous Waste-The sites listed are still operating within Liverpool. Both sites are operational</p>	To continue to liaise with MEAS through the North West Waste Network

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			<p>and have significant permitted and available capacity.</p> <p>Hazardous Waste - No new hazardous waste treatment capacity has been brought forward in Liverpool in 2014-15.</p> <p>Sefton Hazardous waste - No new hazardous waste treatment capacity has been brought forward in Sefton in 2014-15.</p> <p>St.Helens Non-Hazardous Waste - The Haydock Lane Recycling Centre and LIS (North Western) Limited are part of the LIS Group and to the best of our knowledge continues to operate.</p> <p>Cory Environmental (Central) Ltd, who operate Lyme and Wood Pits Landfill at Haydock, St. Helens, were granted planning permission P/2012/0156 for a time extension up to 12 June 2016 after which only restoration soils can be brought to the site.</p> <p>The site has two extant Environmental Permits (EPR Ref: JP3495VN/A001 and LP3292CCF/A001) for 'A04: Household, Commercial & Industrial Waste Landfill' and 'A25: Deposit of waste to land as a recovery operation' and continues to operate in the interim.</p> <p>Hazardous Waste - No new hazardous waste treatment capacity has been brought forward in St. Helens in 2014-15.</p> <p>Wirral No new hazardous waste treatment capacity has been brought forward in Wirral in 2014-15</p>	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
Middlesbrough Borough Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.16.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Middlesbrough Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
Natural England	Protection of biodiversity assets of national and international importance	Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation.	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters made to date. Comments have been made on previous drafts of the MWLP with suggested improvements and matters requiring further consideration on soils and Best and Most Versatile land. Natural England confirmed in their consultation response to the Regulation 19 draft MWLP that they welcome and support the changes to the MWLP based on their previous comments.	Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation.
	Soil, land quality and associated reclamation topics within MWLPs	E-mail liaison dated 01.09.16	No issues or objections. Confirmation was being sought regarding any proposed changes to Policies DC21, DC22, SP14 and SP15, as much of the wording in these policies were regarded as 'best practice' that could be shared by Natural England with other Local Authorities.	CCC confirmed that no significant changes are proposed.
Newcastle City Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 11.12.13	No strategic issues raised	To continue to liaise with Newcastle City Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	
London Borough of Newham	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with the London Borough of Newham throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
Newport City Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p>All Newport's large brownfield sites have either been remediated or are currently being remediated and therefore there should be no significant increase in hazardous waste as a result of this sort of activity. Similarly there are no new industrial developments/operations that would alter the current hazardous waste levels.</p> <p>Welsh Government's Technical Advice Note (TAN) 21 (Waste), sets in place monitoring procedures for waste planning applications and capacity availability in each local planning authority in Wales. The first Annual Monitoring Report for the SE Wales region is yet to be published, but once finalised may provide additional information and clarification on non-municipal waste movements around the country.</p> <p>Preliminary results of the annual monitoring report required by TAN 21 indicate that there is no need for additional disposal capacity in SE Wales.</p>	To continue to liaise with Newport City Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
North East Minerals and Waste Policy Officers Group	Waste and minerals movements across administrative boundaries	Attendance at North East M&W POG meetings or liaison via e-mail	Issues for discussion include: Updates on NE AWP, progress on LAA's, Waste Evidence base, oil and gas discussion and updates on current M&W Local Plans and other relevant issues.	To continue to liaise with North East authorities about cross border movements of minerals and waste, as necessary.
North Lanarkshire Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with North Lanarkshire Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
North Lincolnshire Council	Waste movements across administrative	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate	No strategic issues raised	To continue to liaise with North

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
	boundaries	letter dated 24.12.13 Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	Lincolnshire Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
North London Waste Authorities (North London Boroughs of Barnet, Camden, Enfield, Hackney, Haringey, Islington and Waltham Forest)	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response provided by Cumbria County Council on the North London Waste Authorities Duty to Co-operate request dated 24.01.14.	No strategic issues raised.	To engage in further dialogue with the North London Waste Authorities if the need arises in the future.
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16		
North Tyneside Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 24.12.13	No strategic issues raised	To continue to liaise with North Tyneside Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	
North West Aggregates Working Party	Movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries Steady and adequate supply of minerals	Various meetings throughout 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016	Issues discussed include: the regional Annual Monitoring Report; regional Local Aggregates Assessment; and the aggregates levy; AM2016 progress; Local Aggregate Assessment review and scrutiny arrangements; Crown Estate Update; Communities and Local Government update; Industry update and MPAs update	To continue to attend the NW AWP meetings, in order to be able to liaise effectively with other authorities at a regional level
North West Waste Network	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Various meetings and e-mail liaison throughout 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016	Meetings with all local authorities in the North West with responsibility for waste. Topics discussed include: the Duty to Co-operate; revocation of regional spatial strategies; updates on major planning permissions granted by different north west authorities; and updates on Local Plan preparation.	To continue to attend these meetings, in order to be able to liaise effectively with other authorities at a regional level

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
North Yorkshire County Council	Movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 24.12.13	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters. Unlikely that Cumbria will be able to continue current supply levels beyond the medium terms (i.e. past the mid-2020's).	To continue to liaise with North Yorkshire County Council over significant minerals movements where appropriate.
	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	No strategic issues raised. The Maltings Organics Treatment Facility is still operating and there are no planning reasons why this site cannot continue to receive waste from Cumbria. There are a large number of waste management sites within the WPA area. Details of waste management capacity in the joint plan area is contained within the Report 'North Yorkshire Sub-region: Waste Arisings and Capacity Requirements – Addendum Report (May 2015)' which can be accessed via www.northyorks.gov.uk/mwevidence .	
North Yorkshire County Council, City of York Council and North Yorkshire Moors National Park	Movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries	Information request for minerals movements between North Yorkshire County Council, City of York Council and North York Moors National Park and Cumbria dated 12.12.13	No strategic issues raised. However, some incorrect assumptions were made in the Joint Plan about future Cumbrian minerals supply. Information was provided to correct these.	To respond to any further consultation and to liaise with the authorities where necessary on Cumbrian minerals movements and supply if necessary.
Northamptonshire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 24.12.13	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with Northants County Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan Continue to liaise with Northants County

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
				Council at NuLeAF RWPG meetings.
Northumberland County Council and Northumberland National Park Authority	Movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries	Response to Duty to Co-operate and Strategic Waste Movements letter dated 24.12.13	No strategic issues raised.	Given that no cross boundary issues have been identified, no further meetings required at present. Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation. To continue to liaise with Northumberland CC at the North East Minerals and Waste Group and at CCC DPOG Meetings
	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Joint meeting (May 2014) Eden District Council, Carlisle City Council and Northumberland County Council.	No issues or objections arising	
		CCC response to Northumberland DtC request dated 30.06.15	No strategic issues raised.	
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p>Non-hazardous waste - The facility operated by Blackwater (North East) Limited at the Swarland Brickworks, Thrunton, is no longer operational following a fire at the site in September 2013. It is understood that this site was collecting waste carpets and underlay, mainly from household waste recovery centres and bulky waste collections, to be processed and recycled.</p> <p>The Ellington Road Composting Facility continues to be operational but, as detailed above, the facility at Swarland Brickworks has closed. Given this closure, a facility that was available in Northumberland for the processing and recycling of waste carpets and similar materials is no longer available.</p> <p>It is considered that further dialogue between Northumberland County Council and Cumbria County Council may be necessary to clarify whether the movements from Northumberland to Cumbria need to be specifically addressed in the relevant local plans.</p> <p>Hazardous waste - No likely significant changes are known or have been identified.</p> <p>The movements from Northumberland to Cumbria are</p>	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			<p>relatively small and therefore there are not significant cross boundary implications that require further consideration or discussion to inform plan preparation.</p> <p>Future capacity issues - there are no known issues at the current waste management facilities in Northumberland other than the non-hazardous landfill site at Ellington Road Landfill which has limited capacity remaining due to the nature of this site.</p>	
Nottinghamshire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 24.12.13	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with Nottinghamshire County Council throughout the preparation of the Minerals and Waste Local Plan
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	The waste movements identified all relate to a single oil treatment facility at Bilsthorpe in Nottinghamshire. There are no operational or planning constraints that would limit a similar pattern and quantity of waste movements into Nottinghamshire in the future.	
NuLeAF	Radioactive waste management, including planning issues	Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation	No strategic issues raised.	<p>To continue to liaise with NuLeAF throughout the preparation of the MWLP.</p> <p>To continue to attend NuLeAF RWPG meetings, in order to keep up to date with relevant changes in national policy.</p> <p>To use this research to inform CCC's approach to the Duty to Co-operate.</p>
		Various meetings and workshops 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016	Issues discussed include: transportation of radioactive materials; future uses of nuclear licensed sites; implementation of Magnox; update on Geological Disposal Facility; and an update on developments at local sites, including DtC; development of a single radioactive waste strategy for the NDA; consultation on control of former nuclear licensed-sites following surrender of RSA permit	
		Various consultation responses provided to NuLeAF from CCC to feed into a combined response from NuLeAF members.	CCC has provided various responses but of most relevance to DtC is feedback provided by Cumbria County Council on a paper entitled 'The Duty to Co-operate and Radioactive Waste Management' dated 24.01.14.	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
Nuclear Decommissioning Authority	Radioactive waste management	Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with NDA throughout the preparation of the MWLP.
		Meeting with LLWR, NDA and Sellafield Ltd regarding Radioactive Waste in the MWLP - 11 August 2015	No strategic issues raised.	
Office for Nuclear Regulation	Radioactive waste regulation	Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with the Office for Nuclear Regulation throughout the preparation of the MWLP.
Oxfordshire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 24.12.13	No strategic issues raised	To continue to liaise with Oxfordshire County Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP To continue to liaise with Oxfordshire County Council through NuLeAF RWPG meetings.
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.14	No strategic issues raised. Oxfordshire CC do not consider the export of 2,188 tonnes of non-hazardous waste to Cumbria in 2011 to be strategically significant. Movements between Cumbria and Oxfordshire have not been strategically significant in the past or currently there is not expected to be significant movements in the future. Apart from radioactive waste from the decommissioning of Harwell, there are no known issues regarding future waste management capacity within Oxfordshire that would be likely to affect movements of waste between Oxfordshire and Cumbria. There is currently sufficient capacity within Oxfordshire for the management of Oxfordshire's non-hazardous and inert wastes to enable net self-sufficiency.	
Peterborough City Council	Waste movements across administrative	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate	No strategic issues raised	To continue to liaise with Peterborough

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
	boundaries	letter dated 24.12.13 Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	No strategic issues raised.	City Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP
Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with Redcar and Cleveland Borough Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	No strategic issues raised. The sites identified still operate within the Borough and there are no reasons why these facilities cannot continue to operate at similar levels in the future. There is currently only one facility for hazardous landfill operating within Redcar and Cleveland Borough, which is ICI No3 Teesport. There are no time limited conditions or restrictions on the source of waste associated with this site and, at the end of 2014, it was identified that the site had approximately 1,943,047 cubic metres of void space remaining.	
Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 17.12.13	The sites of Woodland Oils and Sims Group may have future expansion issues due to locational issues.	The potential issues with the future expansion of these sites in Rotherham will be taken into consideration in the Waste Needs Assessment.
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	Non-Hazardous Waste - The Glassworks, Beatson Clark Ltd, and C F Booth Ltd: C F Booth Ltd continue to operate in Rotherham and there are no planning reasons why these sites cannot continue to receive waste in the future. However, the Council would be supportive of measures to manage waste movements closer to their origins, minimising cross boundary waste movements wherever this provides the most sustainable option. The Joint Waste Plan allocates 5 strategic sites to	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			<p>help meet future recycling/treatment waste capacity targets during the plan period. One of these sites within Rotherham (with a capacity of 265,000 tonnes), has recently opened.</p> <p>However ongoing monitoring of the Joint Waste Plan reveals that South Yorkshire faces a shortfall of sufficient commercial and industrial waste and disposal facilities. Existing landfill sites do not have sufficient capacity to dispose of this waste.</p> <p>Hazardous Waste-no likely changes in facility capacity within Rotherham.</p>	
Rutland County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p>The majority of waste produced in Rutland is exported to surrounding authorities where it undergoes processing in preparation for recycling and reuse (including composting and inert recycling), it is otherwise treated or disposed of to landfill. Such arrangements are subject to commercial contracts that are largely outside the scope of the plan-making process.</p> <p>Whether or not the Agriorganics site continues to accept waste from Rutland (and it seems as if 2012 was a one off) over your plan period will be down to the commercial arrangements of this facility. Rutland are aiming for regional self sufficiency in terms of treating waste but do accept that waste will travel to other regions even those a considerable distances from its boundaries.</p>	To continue to liaise with Rutland County Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP
Scottish Borders Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 11.12.13	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.	No further action required
Scottish Environment Protection Agency	Flood risk Climate change mitigation and	Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation.	No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.	Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation.

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
(SEPA)	adaptation Biodiversity protection Waste management capacity and new sites Protection of water resources/water table issues			
Sheffield City Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 11.12.13	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with Sheffield City Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP Cumbria County Council has written to Barnsley Council about waste movements to this site (see Barnsley Council above)
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	No strategic issues raised. However for information Monckton Coke and Chemical Co. Ltd. is in Barnsley not Sheffield.	
Somerset County Council	Radioactive waste management	Request for information on radioactive waste management and how Policies SP2, SP5, SP6 on LLW in the MWLP have evolved.	Email responses sent to Somerset CC responding to the request for information on LLW.	Ongoing discussions with SCC throughout the preparation of the MWLP.
		Meeting to discuss comments on radioactive waste topic paper	CCC provided comments on Somerset's Radioactive Waste Topic Paper.	
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	This movement of non-hazardous waste to Cumbria may well continue, at least in the short- to medium-term. Linked with this, it is worth maintaining a watching brief and continuing related dialogue. In the most recent published "End Use Register" of	

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			<p>the Somerset Waste Partnership, which manages local authority collected waste in Somerset, Barrow Mill is not listed as an end use, thereby implying that this is commercial waste.</p> <p>Somerset CC is currently preparing a report on cross boundary movements of waste too and have set their threshold of 5000 tonnes for non-hazardous waste. They are using this to identify what they consider to be significant cross boundary movements (import and export), whilst also listing cross-border movements above 1000 tonnes per year.</p> <p>Radioactive waste - Somerset CC has prepared an updated topic paper on radioactive waste which summarises the latest position, and they will maintain a watching brief and dialogue regarding movements of radioactive waste from Somerset to facilities in Cumbria.</p>	
South Ayrshire Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 23.12.13	No strategic issues raised, although South Ayrshire Council is keen to source a Materials Recovery Facility in the north of England to deal with their co-mingled dry recyclable waste (approximately 12,000 tonnes per annum).	To continue to liaise with South Ayrshire Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP
South Lakeland District Council	Interaction between MWLP and other strategic policies in Local Plans.	<p>Joint meeting with Lake District National Park (December 2012)</p> <p>Various meetings with SLDC in 2013, 2014 and 2015 to discuss the MWLP and SLDC's Local Plan</p> <p>Formal consultation at key stages in the plan preparation</p>	<p>No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.</p> <p>Comments have been made on the MWLP on the proposed site allocations within the South Lakeland area and site specific comments. South Lakeland DC support the allocation of the sites within South Lakeland and where site specific comments have been provided, CCC has taken them into consideration and amended the MWLP and Site Assessments document accordingly.</p> <p>A number of comments/suggestions for improvement</p>	<p>Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation and comments made at each stage of preparation taken into account.</p> <p>CCC to keep in contact with South Lakeland District Council with information about</p>

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
			to the wording of a number of policies have also been suggested. These have been taken into consideration and CCC have made changes to the MWLP where considered necessary.	policies and allocation that might affect the district of South Lakeland.
Staffordshire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Staffordshire County Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP
Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 23.12.13	The acceptance of waste at Port Clarence Landfill is expected to cease in 2016 due to permission time limits. An EIA scoping opinion was submitted to extend the life of the facility. The Cowpen Bewley Landfill site is expected to be at capacity by 2020.	The future of these sites will be monitored and considered in the Waste Needs Assessment.
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	
Stoke-on-Trent City Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 23.12.13	There is the potential for waste levels to increase in future years and Stoke City Council cannot guarantee to be able to accommodate all of the movements.	This potential limitation will be taken into consideration in the Waste Needs Assessment.
		Duty to Cooperate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	
Suffolk County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Suffolk County Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP. To continue to liaise with Suffolk County Council through NuLeAF RWPG meetings.

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
Sunderland City Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 24.12.13	No strategic issues raised. The closure of the landfill at Houghton-le-Spring is being considered by its owners.	The potential closure of this landfill will be considered in the Waste Needs Assessment. To continue to liaise with Sunderland City Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP.
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<u>Non-hazardous waste</u> Material Recycling Facility: Niramax Group Ltd - this site is still in operation and acts as a sub regional hub/WTS with much of the waste passing through it being transferred on to the Niramax landfill facilities in Teesside. Pattinson South Transfer Station & Scrap Yard: Impetus Waste Management Ltd is still operational. There are no planning reasons as to why these sites cannot continue to receive waste from Cumbria as they are both WTS's. <u>Hazardous waste</u> Waste is moved on an ad-hoc basis and there are no comments on the movement of hazardous between Cumbria and Sunderland.	
Surrey County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 23.12.13	No strategic issues raised. Patteson Court has permission to receive waste until 2027. Pressure on this site is expected to increase as it becomes more of a sub-regional facility.	The potential limitation of this site in Surrey will be taken into consideration in the Waste Needs Assessment. To continue to liaise with Surrey County Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP.
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	No strategic issues raised. With regard to the movements from Cumbria to Surrey in 2011. The Redhill landfill site is still operational and has planning permission until 2030.	
Wakefield Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 23.12.13	No strategic issues raised.	To continue to liaise with Wakefield Council throughout

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p>Non-Hazardous Waste - The 3 sites listed are all still operating in Wakefield and the Council are not aware of any planning reasons as to why these sites cannot continue to receive waste from Cumbria.</p> <p>Hazardous Waste - The Council are not aware of any possible change in facility capacity in Wakefield.</p>	the preparation of the MWLP.
Walsall Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 01.04.14	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Walsall Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP.
		Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	<p>No planned changes to waste management capacity in Walsall that would involve management of significant tonnages of waste from Cumbria. As Walsall is remote from Cumbria, it is unlikely that waste facilities in Walsall will be making a significantly greater contribution towards your future waste management requirements than they currently do.</p> <p>The waste movements identified between Walsall and Cumbria are not unusual and do not raise any issues of concern.</p>	
Warrington Borough Council	Movement of mineral products across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 23.12.13	<p>No issues or objections arising in relation to the identified strategic matters.</p> <p>Comments received in relation to Arpley landfill site whose future is uncertain. However given the relatively small amount of waste received from Cumbria by Warrington, it is considered that the impact on both Local Plans would be minimal, provided that these levels do not increase significantly.</p>	<p>The uncertainty of Arpley landfill will be taken into consideration in the Waste Needs Assessment.</p> <p>Continued liaison on MWLP during its preparation.</p>
	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 14.06.16	The site operated by 'Computer Disposal Ltd' is within the boundary of Cheshire West and Chester not Warrington. Future of Arpley Landfill Site is uncertain.	To continue to liaise with Warrington through the North West Waste Network.

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
Warwickshire County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Warwickshire County Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP
West Berkshire Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 24.12.13	No strategic issues raised.	To liaise with West Berkshire in the future should the need arise. To continue to liaise with West Berkshire Council through NuLeAF RWPG meetings.
West Sussex County Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Response to Cumbria County Council's Duty to Co-operate letter dated 24.12.13	The Inspector for West Sussex Waste Local Plan raised concern that the views of authorities sending waste to West Sussex had not been sought; only the views of those authorities who West Sussex send waste to had been sought.	To liaise with West Sussex in the future should the need arise.
		Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	
Wiltshire Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Wiltshire Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP
Wolverhampton City Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Wolverhampton City Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP

Body/Organisation	Identified Strategic Matters	Method of engagement and co-operation	Current Position	Key and notable actions
Wrexham County Borough Council	Waste movements across administrative boundaries	Duty to Co-operate letter sent by Cumbria County Council dated 14.06.16	No response received.	To continue to liaise with Wrexham Council throughout the preparation of the MWLP
Yorkshire Dales National Park Authority	Minerals supply and safeguarding	Various meetings to discuss cross boundary issues between YDNPA and Cumbria in relation to minerals and waste.	Reduction of planning permissions for High PSV in the YDNPA could lead to increased demand on High PSV quarries in Cumbria.	To continue to liaise with the YDNPA about the future of their High PSV quarries and, if required, to plan for these losses in Cumbria's Local Plan. To liaise on the hand over of responsibilities for the quarries and waste management sites within the National Park extension.
	National Park boundary changes	Various meetings to discuss extension of the YDNPA boundary.	National Park boundary to be amended and from August 2016, the following M&W sites will fall under the YDNP planning remit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Pickering Quarry • Rooks Quarry • Blasterfield Quarry • Hills Quarry • Waitby Sidings Open Windrow Composting Site 	